

To the Editor,

The recent article by Nick Tabakoff about brothels is so ill-informed and imbalanced that a reasonably well-informed person immediately gets the perception he must be getting some kind of payback in the form of money or sexual favours from the obvious instigators of the article, namely Chris Seage, his buddy Nicolas Mamouzelos, or their brothel owner cronies? Please assure me that you will investigate why the article is so imbalanced and report your findings back to me?

If this article is supposed to meet core journalistic values, please also inform me:

1. Why is there no alternative point of view presented? and
2. Why there is no disclosure that Nicolas Mamouzelos was on the Government Interagency Brothels Taskforce? (presumably because that would expose his obvious duplicity in responding to questions about Cabinet-in-confidence papers he contributed to, but which has no authority to speak about publicly?)

Please see below extracts from a letter sent to the Premier yesterday outlining some vital background facts that failed to make it into the article, and I look forward to receiving your reply to my questions above by email,

Yours sincerely

Saul Isbister

---